arXiv:math/0405463v2 [math.AC] 27 Jan 2005

A LINEAR BOUND FOR FROBENIUS POWERS AND AN INCLUSION BOUND FOR TIGHT CLOSURE

HOLGER BRENNER

Abstract. Let I denote an R_+-primary homogeneous ideal in a normal standard-graded Cohen-Macaulay domain over a field of positive characteristic p. We give a linear degree bound for the Frobenius powers $I^{[q]}$ of I, $q = p^e$, in terms of the minimal slope of the top-dimensional syzygy bundle on the projective variety $\operatorname{Proj} R$. This provides an inclusion bound for tight closure. In the same manner we give a linear bound for the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of the Frobenius powers $I^{[q]}$.

Mathematical Subject Classification (2000): 13A35; 13D02; 14J60

Introduction

Let R denote a noetherian ring, let $\mathfrak{m}$ denote a maximal ideal in R and let I denote an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. This means by definition that $\mathfrak{m}$ is the radical of I. Then there exists a (minimal) number k such that $\mathfrak{m}^k \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ holds. If R contains a field of positive characteristic p, then the Frobenius powers of the ideal I, that is

$$I^{[q]} = \{f^q : f \in I\}\,, \;\; q = p^e,$$

are also $\mathfrak{m}$-primary and hence there exists a minimal number $k(q)$ such that $\mathfrak{m}^{k(q)} \subseteq I^{[q]}$ holds. In this paper we deal with the question how $k(q)$ behaves as a function of q, in particular we look for linear bounds for $k(q)$ from above. If $\mathfrak{m}^k \subseteq I$ and if l denotes the number of generators for $\mathfrak{m}^k$, then we get the trivial linear inclusion $(\mathfrak{m}^k)^{lq} \subseteq (\mathfrak{m}^k)^{[q]} \subseteq I^{[q]}$.

The main motivation for this question comes from the theory of tight closure. Recall that the tight closure of an ideal I in a domain R containing a field of positive characteristic p is the ideal

$$I^* = \{f \in R : \exists 0 \neq c \in R \text{ such that } cf^q \in I^{[q]} \text{ for all } q = p^e\}\,.$$

A linear inclusion relation $\mathfrak{m}^{\lambda q + \gamma} \subseteq I^{[q]}$ for all $q = p^e$ implies the inclusion $\mathfrak{m}^{\lambda} \subseteq I^*$, since then we can take any element $0 \neq c \in \mathfrak{m}^{\gamma}$ to show for $f \in \mathfrak{m}^{\lambda}$ that $cf^q \in \mathfrak{m}^{\lambda q + \gamma} \subseteq I^{[q]}$, hence $f \in I^*$. The trivial bound mentioned above yields $\mathfrak{m}^{kl} \subseteq I^*$, but in fact we have already $\mathfrak{m}^{kl} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^k \subseteq I$, so this does not yield anything interesting.

We restrict in this paper to the case of a normal standard-graded domain R over an algebraically closed field $K = R_0$ of positive characteristic p and a homogeneous R_+-primary ideal I. The question is then to find the minimal degree $k(q)$ such that $R_{\geq k(q)} \subseteq I^{[q]}$ or at least a good linear bound $k(q) \leq \lambda q + \gamma$. In this setting we work mainly over the normal projective variety $Y = \operatorname{Proj} R$, endowed with the very ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$. If $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ is given by homogeneous ideal generators f_i of degree $d_i = \deg(f_i)$, then we get on Y the following short exact sequences of locally free sheaves,

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Syz}(f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q)(m) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_Y(m - qd_i) \overset{f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_Y(m) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Another homogeneous element $h \in R$ of degree m yields a cohomology class $\delta(h) \in H^1(Y, \operatorname{Syz}(f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q)(m))$, and therefore the question whether $h \in (f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q) = I^{[q]}$ is equivalent to the question whether $\delta(h) = 0$. Since $\operatorname{Syz}(f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q)(0) = F^{*e}(\operatorname{Syz}(f_1, \ldots, f_n)(0))$ is the pull-back under the e-th absolute Frobenius morphism $F^e : Y \to Y$, our question is an instance of the following more general question: given a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{S}$ on a normal projective variety $(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(1))$, find an (affine-linear) bound $\ell(q)$ such that for $m \geq \ell(q)$ we have $H^1(Y, \mathcal{S}^q(m)) = 0$, where we set $\mathcal{S}^q = F^{e*}(\mathcal{S})$. Using a resolution $\mathcal{G}_\bullet \to \mathcal{S} \to 0$ where $\mathcal{G}_j = \bigoplus_{(k,j)} \mathcal{O}_Y(-\alpha_{k,j})$, we can shift the problem (at least if $Y = \operatorname{Proj} R$ with R Cohen-Macaulay, so that $H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m)) = 0$ for $0 < i < \dim(Y)$) to the problem of finding a bound such that $H^t(Y, \mathcal{S}_t^q(m)) = 0$, where $\mathcal{S}_t = \operatorname{kern}(\mathcal{G}_t \to \mathcal{G}_{t-1})$ and $t = \dim(Y)$. By Serre duality this translates to $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{S}_t^q(m), \omega_Y) = 0$. Now the existence of such mappings is controlled by the minimal slope of $\mathcal{S}_t^q(m)$. Let $\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\mathcal{S}_t) = \liminf_{q=p^e} \mu_{\min}(\mathcal{S}_t^q)/q$ and set $\nu = -\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\mathcal{S}_t)/\deg(Y)$. With these notations applied to $\mathcal{S} = \operatorname{Syz}(f_1, \ldots, f_n)(0)$ our main results are the following theorems (Theorems 2.2 and 2.4).

Theorem 1. *Let R denote a standard-graded normal Cohen-Macaulay domain over an algebraically closed field K of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that the dualizing sheaf ω_Y of $Y = \operatorname{Proj} R$ is invertible. Let I denote a homogeneous R_+-primary ideal. Then $R_{> q\nu + \frac{\deg(\omega_Y)}{\deg(Y)}} \subseteq I^{[q]}$.*

From this linear bound for the Frobenius powers we get the following inclusion bound for tight closure.

Theorem 2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1 we have the inclusion $R_{\geq \nu} \subseteq I^*$, where I^* denotes the tight closure of I.*

This theorem generalizes [2, Theorem 6.4] from dimension two to higher dimensions. We also obtain an inclusion bound for the Frobenius closure (Corollary 2.12) and a linear bound for the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of the Frobenius powers $I^{[q]}$ (Theorem 3.1), which improves a recent result of M. Chardin [6].

I thank M. Blickle for useful remarks.

1. Some projective preliminaries

Let K denote an algebraically closed field and let Y denote a normal projective variety over K of dimension t together with a fixed ample Cartier divisor H with corresponding ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$. The degree of a coherent torsion-free sheaf $\mathcal{S}$ (with respect to H) is defined by the intersection number $\deg(\mathcal{S}) = \deg(c_1(\mathcal{S})) = c_1(\mathcal{S}).H^{t-1}$, see [17, Preliminaries] for background of this notion. The degree is additive on short exact sequences [17, Lemma 1.5(2)].

The slope of $\mathcal{S}$ (with respect to H), written $\mu(\mathcal{S})$, is defined by dividing the degree through the rank. The slope fulfills the property that $\mu(\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes \mathcal{S}_2) = \mu(\mathcal{S}_1) + \mu(\mathcal{S}_2)$ [17, Lemma 1.5(4)]. The minimal slope of $\mathcal{S}$, $\mu_{\min}(\mathcal{S})$, is given by

$$\mu_{\min}(\mathcal{S}) = \inf\{\mu(\mathcal{Q}) : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{Q} \to 0 \text{ is a torsion-free quotient sheaf}\} .$$

If $\mathcal{S}_1 \subset \ldots \subset \mathcal{S}_k = \mathcal{S}$ is the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $\mathcal{S}$ [17, Proposition 1.13], then $\mu_{\min}(\mathcal{S}) = \mu(\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{S}_{k-1})$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is an invertible sheaf and $\mu_{\min}(\mathcal{S}) > \deg(\mathcal{L})$, then there does not exist any non-trivial sheaf homomorphism $\mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{L}$. The sheaf $\mathcal{S}$ is called semistable if $\mu(\mathcal{S}) = \mu_{\min}(\mathcal{S})$.

Suppose now that the characteristic of K is positive and let $F^e : Y \to Y$ denote the e-th absolute Frobenius morphism. We denote the pull-back of $\mathcal{S}$ under this morphism by $\mathcal{S}^q = F^{e*}(\mathcal{S})$, $q = p^e$. The slope behaves like $\mu(\mathcal{S}^q) = q\mu(\mathcal{S})$ (this follows from [17, Lemma 1.6], for which it is enough to assume that the finite mapping is flat in codimension one; note that we compute the slope always with respect to $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$, not with respect to $F^{*e}(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)) = \mathcal{O}_Y(q)$). It may however happen that $\mu_{\min}(\mathcal{S}^q) < q\mu_{\min}(\mathcal{S})$. Therefore it is useful to consider the number (compare [16])

$$\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\mathcal{S}) = \liminf_{q=p^e} \mu_{\min}(\mathcal{S}^q)/q \, .$$

This limit exists, since there exists for some number k a surjection $\oplus_j \mathcal{O}(\beta_j) \to \mathcal{S}(k)$ such that all β_j are positive. Then $\mathcal{S}(k)$ is a quotient of an ample bundle and so all its quotients have positive degree. This holds also for all its Frobenius pull-backs, hence $\mu_{\min}((\mathcal{S}(k))^q) \geq 0$ and the limit is ≥ 0. Thus $\mu_{\min}(\mathcal{S}^q) \geq -qk \deg(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))$ for all q. Moreover, a theorem of Langer implies that this limit is even a rational number, see [16]. The sheaf $\mathcal{S}$ is called strongly semistable if $\mu(\mathcal{S}) = \bar{\mu}_{\min}(\mathcal{S})$; equivalently, if all Frobenius pull-backs $\mathcal{S}^q$ are semistable.

The degree of the variety Y (with respect to H) is by definition the top self intersection number $\deg(Y) = \deg(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)) = H^t$. In the following we will impose on a polarized variety $(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(1))$ of dimension t the condition that $H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m)) = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, t-1$ and all m. If $Y = \operatorname{Proj} R$, where R is a standard-graded Cohen Macaulay ring, this property holds true due to [5, Theorem 3.5.7].

Proposition 1.1. *Let Y denote a normal projective variety of dimension $t \geq 1$ over an algebraically closed field K of positive characteristic p. Let $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ denote a very ample invertible sheaf on Y such that $H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}(m)) = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, t-1$. Suppose that the dualizing sheaf ω_Y on Y is invertible. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote a torsion-free coherent sheaf on Y. Suppose that the stalk $\mathcal{S}_y$ is free for every non-smooth point $y \in Y$. Let*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_3 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow 0$$

denote an exact complex of sheaves, where $\mathcal{G}_j$ has type $\mathcal{G}_j = \bigoplus_{(k,j)} \mathcal{O}_Y(-\alpha_{k,j})$. Set $\mathcal{S}_j = \operatorname{im}(\mathcal{G}_{j+1} \to \mathcal{G}_j) = \operatorname{kern}(\mathcal{G}_j \to \mathcal{G}_{j-1})$, $j \geq 2$, and $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}$. Fix $i = 1, \ldots, t$. Then for

$$m > -q \frac{\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\mathcal{S}_{t-i+1})}{\deg(Y)} + \frac{\deg(\omega_Y)}{\deg(Y)}$$

we have $H^i(Y, \mathcal{S}^q(m)) = 0$.

Proof. Note first that the Frobenius acts flat on the exact complex and on the corresponding short exact sequences $0 \to \mathcal{S}_{j+1} \to \mathcal{G}_{j+1} \to \mathcal{S}_j \to 0$. This can be checked locally and is true for the smooth points of Y. Over a singular point $y \in Y$ the sheaf $\mathcal{S}$ is free, so these short exact sequences split locally in a neighborhood of such a point and hence all the $\mathcal{S}_j$ are also free in y. So also in these points the Frobenius preserves the exactness of the complex.

Due to our assumption on $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ we have $H^i(Y, \mathcal{G}_j(m)) = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, t-1$ and all m and all $j \geq 2$. Hence from the short exact sequences $0 \to \mathcal{S}_{j+1}(m) \to \mathcal{G}_{j+1}(m) \to \mathcal{S}_j(m) \to 0$ we can infer that

$$\begin{aligned} &H^i(Y, \mathcal{S}_j(m)) \cong H^{i+1}(Y, \mathcal{S}_{j+1}(m)) \quad \text{isomorphisms for } i = 1, \ldots, t-2, \\ &H^{t-1}(Y, \mathcal{S}_j(m)) \subseteq H^t(Y, \mathcal{S}_{j+1}(m)) \quad \text{injection for } t \geq 2, \\ &H^t(Y, \mathcal{G}_{j+1}(m)) \to H^t(Y, \mathcal{S}_j(m)) \quad \text{surjection.} \end{aligned}$$

The same is true if we replace S_j and G_j by their Frobenius pull-backs S_j^q and G_j^q. For $i = 1, \ldots, t$ we find

$$H^i(Y, \mathcal{S}_1^q(m)) \cong H^{i+1}(Y, \mathcal{S}_2^q(m)) \cong \ldots \cong H^{t-1}(Y, \mathcal{S}_{t-i}^q(m)) \subseteq H^t(Y, \mathcal{S}_{t-i+1}^q(m)).$$

So we only have to look at $H^t(Y, \mathcal{S}_{t-i+1}^q(m))$, which is by Serre duality dual to $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{S}_{t-i+1}^q(m), \omega_Y)$, see [10, Theorem III.7.6]. Suppose now that m fulfills the numerical condition. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\min}(\mathcal{S}_{t-i+1}^q(m)) &= \mu_{\min}(\mathcal{S}_{t-i+1}^q) + m \deg(Y) \\ &\geq q\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\mathcal{S}_{t-i+1}) + m \deg(Y) \\ &> q\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\mathcal{S}_{t-i+1}) + \Big(-q \frac{\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\mathcal{S}_{t-i+1})}{\deg(Y)} + \frac{\deg(\omega_Y)}{\deg(Y)} \Big) \deg(Y) \\ &= \deg(\omega_Y) \, . \end{aligned}$$

So for these m there are no non-trivial mappings from $\mathcal{S}_{t-i+1}^q(m)$ to ω_Y and therefore $H^t(Y, \mathcal{S}_{t-i+1}^q(m)) = 0$. □

Remark 1.2. The dualizing sheaf ω_Y on the projective variety $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is invertible under the condition that Y is locally a complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^N$ and in particular if Y is smooth (see [10, Theorem III.7.11 and Corollary III.7.12]. If ω_Y is not invertible, but torsion-free, then we may replace $\deg(\omega_Y)$ by $\mu_{\max}(\omega_Y)$ to get the same statement as in Proposition 1.1.

2. An inclusion bound for tight closure

We first fix the following situation, with which we will deal in this section.

Situation 2.1. Let K denote an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let R denote a standard-graded normal Cohen-Macaulay domain of dimension $t + 1 \geq 2$ over K with corresponding projective normal variety $Y = \operatorname{Proj} R$. Suppose that the dualizing sheaf ω_Y of Y is invertible. Let $I \subseteq R$ denote a homogeneous R_+-primary ideal. Let

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_2 = \bigoplus_{(k,2)} R(-\alpha_{k,2}) \longrightarrow F_1 = \bigoplus_{(k,1)} R(-\alpha_{k,1}) \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

denote a homogeneous complex of graded R-modules which is exact on $D(R_+)$. Let

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_2 = \bigoplus_{(k,2)} \mathcal{O}(-\alpha_{(k,2)}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_1 = \bigoplus_{(k,1)} \mathcal{O}(-\alpha_{k,1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow 0$$

denote the corresponding exact complex of sheaves on Y. Denote by $\operatorname{Syz}_j = \operatorname{kern}(\mathcal{G}_j \to \mathcal{G}_{j-1})$ the locally free kernel sheaves on Y, and set $\operatorname{Syz}_j(m) = \operatorname{Syz}_j \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(m)$. Let $\nu = -\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\operatorname{Syz}_t)/\deg(Y)$, where t is the dimension of Y.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose the situation and notation described in 2.1. Then for all prime powers $q = p^e$ we have the inclusion $R_{> q\nu + \frac{\deg(\omega_Y)}{\deg(Y)}} \subseteq I^{[q]}$.*

Proof. Since I is primary all the syzygy sheaves occurring in the resolution on Y are locally free and hence we may apply Proposition 1.1. Fix a prime power $q = p^e$. Let $h \in R$ denote a homogeneous element of degree $m > q\nu + \frac{\deg(\omega_Y)}{\deg(Y)}$. This gives via the short exact sequence on Y,

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Syz}(f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q)(m) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_Y(m - qd_i) \overset{f_1^q,\ldots,f_n^q}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_Y(m) \longrightarrow 0$$

rise to a cohomology class $\delta(h) \in H^1(Y, \operatorname{Syz}(f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q)(m))$, where

$$\operatorname{Syz}(f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q)(m) = (F^{e*}(\operatorname{Syz}(f_1, \ldots, f_n)))(m) = \mathcal{S}^q(m)\,,$$

$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_1 = \operatorname{Syz}(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$. It is enough to show that $\delta(h) = 0$, for then $h \in I^{[q]}\Gamma(D(R_+), \mathcal{O}) = I^{[q]}$, since R is normal. But this follows from Proposition 1.1 applied to $\mathcal{S} = \operatorname{Syz}(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ and $i = 1$. $\square$

Remark 2.3. We do not insist that the "resolution" of the ideal is exact on the whole $\operatorname{Spec} R$ nor that it is minimal, but it is likely that a minimal resolution will give us in general a better bound ν. For example we can always use the Koszul complex given by ideal generators of the R_+-primary ideal I.

The next theorem gives an inclusion bound for tight closure. Recall that the tight closure of an ideal $I \subseteq R$ in a noetherian domain containing a field of positive characteristic p is by definition the ideal

$$I^* = \{f \in R : \exists 0 \neq c \in R \text{ such that } cf^q \in I^{[q]} \text{ for all } q = p^e\} .$$

See [11] for basic properties of this closure operation.

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose the situation described in 2.1. Then we have the inclusion $R_{\geq \nu} \subseteq I^*$.*

Proof. Let $f \in R$ be a homogeneous element of degree $\deg(f) = m \geq \nu = -\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\mathrm{Syz}_t)/\deg(Y)$. Due to the definition of tight closure we have to show that $cf^q \in I^{[q]}$ holds for some $c \neq 0$ and all prime powers q. Let $c \neq 0$ be any homogeneous element of degree $> \deg(\omega_Y)/\deg(Y)$. Then $\deg(cf^q) = qm + \deg(c) > q\nu + \deg(\omega_Y)/\deg(Y)$ and therefore $cf^q \in I^{[q]}$ by Theorem 2.2. $\square$

Remark 2.5. Suppose that R fulfills the condition of the situation described in 2.1 and let $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ denote an ideal generated by a full regular system of homogeneous parameters of degree $\deg(f_i) = d_i$ (so $n = t + 1$). Then the Koszul resolution of these elements gives a resolution on $Y = \operatorname{Proj} R$ such that the top-dimensional syzygy bundle is invertible, namely

$$\mathrm{Syz}_t(m) = \mathcal{G}_{t+1}(m) = \mathcal{O}_Y(m - d_1 - \cdots - d_{t+1})$$

Then Theorem 2.4 gives the known (even without the condition Cohen-Macaulay) inclusion bound $R_{\geq d_1 + \ldots + d_n} \subseteq (f_1, \ldots, f_n)^*$, see [12, Theorem 2.9].

The next easiest case is then the R_+-primary homogeneous ideal I has finite projective dimension (it is again enough to impose the exactness only on $D(R_+)$). In this case the resolution on Y looks like

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{t+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_t \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow 0$$

and the top-dimensional syzygy bundle is $\mathrm{Syz}_t = \mathcal{G}_{t+1} = \bigoplus_k \mathcal{O}_Y(-\alpha_{k,t+1})$, and therefore

$$\mu_{\min}(\mathrm{Syz}_t) = \deg(Y) \min_k \{-\alpha_{k,t+1}\} = -\deg(Y) \max_k \{\alpha_{k,t+1}\} .$$

The corresponding inclusion bound was proved in [13, Theorem 5.11]. Such a situation arises for example if I is generated by a set of monomials in a system of homogeneous parameters.

The following easy corollary unifies two known inclusion bounds for tight closure given by K. Smith (see [19, Propositions 3.1 and 3.3]), namely that $R_{\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \deg(f_i)} \subseteq I^*$ and that $R_{\geq \dim(R) \max_i \{\deg(f_i)\}} \subseteq I^*$.

Corollary 2.6. *Suppose the situation described in 2.1 and suppose that the homogeneous R_+-primary ideal $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ is generated by homogeneous elements of degree $d_i = \deg(f_i)$. Set $d = \max_{1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_{\dim(R)} \leq n}(d_{i_1} + \ldots + d_{i_{\dim(R)}})$. Then $R_{\geq d} \subseteq I^*$.*

Proof. We consider the Koszul resolution of $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$, which is exact outside the origin. This gives the surjection

$$\bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_{\dim(R)} \leq n} \mathcal{O}(-d_{i_1} - \ldots - d_{i_{\dim(R)}}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Syz}_{\dim(R)-1} \longrightarrow 0$$

which shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}_{\min}(\operatorname{Syz}_{\dim(R)-1}) &\geq \bar{\mu}_{\min}\Big(\bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_{\dim(R)} \leq n} \mathcal{O}(-d_{i_1} - \ldots - d_{i_{\dim(R)}})\Big) \\ &= - \max_{1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_{\dim(R)} \leq n} \{d_{i_1} + \ldots + d_{i_{\dim(R)}}\} \deg(Y)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\nu = -\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\operatorname{Syz}_{\dim(R)-1}) / \deg(Y) \leq \max\{d_{i_1} + \ldots + d_{i_{\dim(R)}}\}$ and Theorem 2.4 applies. □

Remark 2.7. If the dimension of R is two, then Theorem 2.4 was proved in [2, Theorem 6.4] using somewhat more geometric methods. In this case $Y = \operatorname{Proj} R$ is a smooth projective curve and the top syzygy bundle is just the first syzygy bundle, and the result also holds in characteristic zero for solid closure. See [2] and [3] for concrete computations of the number ν in this case. It is in general difficult to compute the number ν of the theorem, as it is difficult to compute the minimal slope of a locally free sheaf.

The following corollary gives an inclusion bound for tight closure under the condition that the top-dimensional syzygy bundle is strongly semistable. In the two-dimensional situation this bound is exact, in the sense that below this bound an element belongs to the tight closure only if it belongs to the ideal itself, see [2, Theorem 8.4].

Corollary 2.8. *Suppose the situation described in 2.1 and let $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ be generated by homogeneous elements of degree $d_i = \deg(f_i)$. Let $F_\bullet \to I$ denote the Koszul complex and suppose that the top-dimensional syzygy bundle Syz_t is strongly semistable. Set $d = (\dim(R) - 1)(d_1 + \ldots + d_n)/(n-1)$. Then $R_{\geq d} \subseteq I^*$.*

Proof. The condition strongly semistable means that $\mu(\operatorname{Syz}_t) = \bar{\mu}_{\min}(\operatorname{Syz}_t)$. So we only have to compute the degree and the rank of Syz_t. It is easy to compute that $\det(\operatorname{Syz}_t) = \mathcal{O}_Y(\binom{n-2}{t-1}(-\sum_{i=1}^n d_i))$, hence

$$\deg(\operatorname{Syz}_t) = \binom{n-2}{t-1}(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i) \deg(Y)$$

and $\operatorname{rk}(\operatorname{Syz}_t) = \binom{n-1}{t}$. Therefore

$$\mu(\operatorname{Syz}_t) = \binom{n-2}{t-1} (-\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i) \deg(Y) / \binom{n-1}{t} = \frac{t}{n-1} (-\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i) \deg(Y)$$

and $\nu = \frac{t}{n-1}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i)$. □

Remark 2.9. As the proofs of Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 1.1 show, Corollary 2.8 is also true under the weaker condition that there does not exist any non-trivial mapping $\operatorname{Syz}_t^q \to \mathcal{L}$ to any invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ contradicting the semistability of Syz_t^q for all $q = p^e$.

Example 2.10. Theorem 2.4 applies in particular when R is a normal complete intersection domain. Let $R = K[X_1, \ldots, X_N]/(H_1, \ldots, H_r)$, where H_j are homogeneous forms of degree δ_j. Then $\omega_Y = \mathcal{O}(\sum_j \delta_j - N)$. Therefore the number $\deg(\omega_Y)/\deg(Y) = \sum_j \delta_j - N$ is just the a-invariant of R.

Example 2.11. We want to apply Corollary 2.8 to the computation of the tight closure $(x^a, y^a, z^a, w^a)^*$ in $R = K[x, y, z, w]/(H)$, where H is supposed to be a polynomial of degree 4 defining a smooth projective (hyper-)surface

$$Y = V_+(H) = \operatorname{Proj} R \subset \mathbb{P}^3 = \operatorname{Proj} K[x, y, z, w]$$

of degree 4; hence Y is a $K3$ surface. Our result will only hold true for generic choice of H. We look at the Koszul complex on $\mathbb{P}^3$ defined by x^a, y^a, z^a, w^a and break it up to get

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Syz}_2 \cong \bigwedge^2 \operatorname{Syz} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_6 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2a) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_4 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-a) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \longrightarrow 0 .$$

Suppose first that K is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. It is easy to see that the syzygy bundle $\operatorname{Syz} = \operatorname{Syz}(x^a, y^a, z^a, w^a)$ is semistable on $\mathbb{P}^3$ [1, Corollary 3.6 or Corollary 6.4]. Therefore also the exterior power $\operatorname{Syz}_2 \cong \bigwedge^2 \operatorname{Syz}$ is semistable on $\mathbb{P}^3$. By the restriction theorem of Flenner [7, Theorem 1.2] it follows that the restriction $\operatorname{Syz}_2|_Y$ is also semistable on the generic hypersurface $Y = V_+(H)$.

On the other hand, due to the Theorem of Noether (see [9, §IV.4]), every curve on the generic surface of degree 4 in $\mathbb{P}^3$ is a complete intersection and $R = K[x, y, z, w]/(H)$ is a factorial domain for generic H of degree 4. It follows that the cotangent bundle Ω_Y on $Y = V_+(H)$ is semistable. For the semistability of a rank two bundle we only have to look at mappings $\mathcal{L} \to \Omega_Y$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is invertible. But since $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_Y(k)$, the semistability follows, since Y is a $K3$ surface and so Ω_Y has degree 0 but does not have any global non-trivial section (see [8, IV. 5]).

So for H generic the relevant second syzygy bundle $\operatorname{Syz}_2|_Y$ and the cotangent bundle Ω_Y are both semistable in characteristic 0. Since the $\mathbb{Q}$-rational points are dense in $\mathbb{A}_K^N$, there exist also such polynomials H with rational coefficients and then also with integer coefficients. We consider such a polynomial H with integer coefficients as defining a family of quartics over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$. Since semistability is an open property, we infer that the second syzygy bundle and the cotangent bundle are also semistable on $Y_p = V_+(H_p)$ for $p \gg 0$.

By the semistability of Ω_{Y_p} $(p \gg 0)$, the maximal slope of Ω_{Y_p} is ≤ 0. A theorem of Langer [16, Corollary 2.4 and Corollary 6.3] shows then that every semistable bundle on Y_p is already strongly semistable. Hence the second syzygy bundle is also strongly semistable. Therefore we are in the situation of Corollary 2.8 and we compute $d = 8a/3$. Thus

$$R_{8a/3} \subseteq (x^a, y^a, z^a, w^a)^*$$

holds in $R = K[x,y,z,w]/(H)$ for H generic of degree 4 and for $p \gg 0$. The first non-trivial instance is for $a = 3$. In fact for the (non-generic) Fermat quartic $x^4 + y^4 + z^4 + w^4 = 0$ it was proved by Singh in [18, Theorem 4.1] directly that $x^2y^2z^2w^2 \in (x^3, y^3, z^3, w^3)^*$.

For the next corollary we recall the definition of the Frobenius closure. Suppose that R is a noetherian ring containing a field of positive characteristic $p > 0$, and let I denote an ideal. Then the Frobenius closure of I is defined by

$$I^F = \{f \in R : \exists q = p^e \text{ such that } f^q \in I^{[q]}\}\,.$$

It is easy to see that the Frobenius closure of an ideal is contained in its tight closure.

Corollary 2.12. *Suppose the situation described in 2.1. Then $R_{>\nu} \subseteq I^F$, the Frobenius closure of I.*

Proof. Let f denote a homogeneous element of degree $m = \deg(f) > \nu = -\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\mathrm{Syz}_t)/\deg(Y)$. Then we just have to take a prime power $q = p^e$ such that $\deg(f^q) = qm > q\nu + \deg(\omega_Y)/\deg(Y)$ holds. Then $f^q \in I^{[q]}$ holds due to Theorem 2.2. □

Example 2.13. Corollary 2.12 is not true for $R_{\geq\nu}$ instead of $R_{>\nu}$. This is already clear for parameter ideals in dimension two, say for (x,y) in $R = K[x,y,z]/(H)$, where H defines a smooth projective curve $Y = \operatorname{Proj} R = V_+(H) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. Here we have the resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(-2) \cong \mathrm{Syz}(x,y)(0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y(-1) \xrightarrow{x,y} \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Hence we get $\nu = 2$, but an element of degree two (say z^2) does not in general belong to the Frobenius closure of (x,y).

Remark 2.14. A problem of Katzman and Sharp (see [15]) asks in its strongest form: does there exist a number b such that whenever $f \in I^F$ holds, then already $f^{p^b} \in I^{[p^b]}$ holds. A positive answer (together with the knowledge of a bound for the number b) to this question would give a finite test to check whether a given element f belongs to the Frobenius closure I^F or not. For those elements which belong to I^F because of Corollary 2.12 (due to degree reasons, so to say), the answer is yes, at least in the sense that for

f fulfilling $\deg(f) \geq \nu + \epsilon$ ($\epsilon > 0$) we have $\deg(f^q) = q\deg(f) \geq q\nu + q\epsilon$, so the condition $q\epsilon > \deg(\omega_Y)/\deg(Y)$ is sufficient to ensure that $f^q \in I^{[q]}$. It is however possible that elements of degree $\deg(f) \leq \nu$ belong to the Frobenius closure.

3. The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of Frobenius powers

We recall briefly the notion of the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity following [4, Definition 15.2.9]. Let R denote a standard-graded ring and let M denote a finitely generated graded R-module. Then the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of M (or regularity of M for short) is

$$\operatorname{reg}(M) = \sup\{\operatorname{end}(H^i_{R_+}(M)) + i :\ 0 \leq i \leq \dim M\}\,,$$

where $\operatorname{end}(N)$ of a graded R-module N denotes the maximal degree e such that $N_e \neq 0$. For a number l we define the regularity $\operatorname{reg}^l(M)$ at and above level l by

$$\operatorname{reg}^l(M) = \sup\{\operatorname{end}(H^i_{R_+}(M)) + i :\ l \leq i \leq \dim M\}\,,$$

A question of M. Katzman raised in [14, Introduction] asks how the regularity of the Frobenius powers $I^{[q]}$ behaves, in particular whether there exists a linear bound $\operatorname{reg}(I^{[q]}) \leq C_1 q + C_0$. Such a linear bound for the regularity of the Frobenius powers of an ideal was recently given by M. Chardin in [6, Theorem 2.3]. The following theorem gives a better linear bound for the regularity of Frobenius powers of I in terms of the slope of the syzygy bundles.

Theorem 3.1. *Let K denote an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic p. Let R denote a standard-graded normal Cohen-Macaulay K-domain of dimension $t+1 \geq 2$. Let $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_n) \subseteq R$ denote a homogeneous ideal generated by homogeneous elements of degree $d_i = \deg(f_i)$. Suppose that the dualizing sheaf ω_Y on $Y = \operatorname{Proj} R$ is invertible. Suppose that the points $y \in \sup(\mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{I})$ are smooth points of Y. Let $F_\bullet \to I$ denote a graded free resolution with corresponding exact complex of sheaves on Y, $\mathcal{G}_\bullet \to \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_Y$. Set $\operatorname{Syz}_j = \operatorname{kern}(\mathcal{G}_j \to \mathcal{G}_{j-1})$. Then we have for the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of the Frobenius powers $I^{[q]}$ the linear bound $\operatorname{reg}(I^{[q]}) \leq C_1 q + C_0$, where*

$$C_1 = \max\{d_i, i = 1, \ldots, n, -\frac{\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\operatorname{Syz}_j)}{\deg(Y)}, j = 1, \ldots, t = \dim(Y)\} \text{ and}$$

$$C_0 = \max\{\operatorname{reg}(R), \frac{\deg(\omega_Y)}{\deg(Y)}\}\,.$$

Proof. The ideal generators define for $q = p^e$ the homogeneous short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Syz}(f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R(-qd_i) \stackrel{f_1^q,\ldots,f_n^q}{\longrightarrow} I^{[q]} \longrightarrow 0$$

of graded R-modules. It is an easy exercise [4, Exc. 15.2.15] to show that for a short exact sequence $0 \to L \to M \to N \to 0$ we have $\operatorname{reg}(N) \leq \max\{\operatorname{reg}^1(L) - 1, \operatorname{reg}(M)\}$. We have $\operatorname{reg}(R(-qd)) = \operatorname{reg}(R) + qd$ and

$$\operatorname{reg}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R(-qd_i)) = \max_i\{\operatorname{reg}(R(-qd_i))\} = \operatorname{reg}(R) + q \max_i\{d_i\}\,,$$

which gives the first terms in the definition of C_1 and C_0 respectively. Hence it is enough to give a linear bound for $\operatorname{reg}^1(\operatorname{Syz}(f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q))$. Moreover, the long exact local cohomology sequence associated to the above short exact sequence gives

$$\longrightarrow H^0_{R_+}(I^{[q]}) \longrightarrow H^1_{R_+}(\operatorname{Syz}(f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^1_{R_+}(R(-qd_i)) \longrightarrow\ .$$

The term on the right is 0, since R is Cohen-Macaulay, and the term on the left is 0, since R is a domain. Therefore $H^1_{R_+}(\operatorname{Syz}(f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q)) = 0$ and we have to find a linear bound for $\operatorname{reg}^2(\operatorname{Syz}(f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q)) = \operatorname{reg}^1(\operatorname{Syz}(f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q))$. We have $H^i_{R_+}(\operatorname{Syz}(f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q)) = H^{i-1}(D(R_+), \operatorname{Syz}(f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q)\tilde{\ })$ for $i \geq 2$ due to the long exact sequence relating local cohomology with sheaf cohomology. Denote now by $\operatorname{Syz}(f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q)$ the corresponding torsion-free sheaf on $Y = \operatorname{Proj} R$. On Y we have the short exact sequences of sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Syz}(f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_Y(-qd_i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}^{[q]} \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

We may compute the cohomology as

$$H^i(D_+(R), \operatorname{Syz}(f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q)\tilde{\ })_m = H^i(Y, \operatorname{Syz}(f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q)(m))\,.$$

Note that the syzygy bundle $\operatorname{Syz}(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ is free by assumption in the singular points of Y. Hence we are in the situation of Proposition 1.1 with $\mathcal{S} = \operatorname{Syz}(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$; therefore $H^i(Y, \operatorname{Syz}(f_1^q, \ldots, f_n^q)(m)) = 0$ $(i = 1, \ldots, t)$ holds for $m > \max_{j=1,\ldots,t}\{-q\frac{\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\operatorname{Syz}_j)}{\deg(Y)}\} + \frac{\deg(\omega_Y)}{\deg(Y)}$, which proves the theorem. $\square$

Remark 3.2. The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of a standard-graded Cohen-Macaulay domain R is just $\operatorname{reg}(R) = \operatorname{end}(H^{\dim(R)}_{R_+}(R)) + \dim(R)$. The end of the top-dimensional local cohomology module of a graded ring is also called its a-invariant, see [4, 13.4.7], hence $\operatorname{reg}(R) = a + \dim(R)$. If R is Gorenstein, then $R(a)$ is the canonical module of R and $\omega_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(a)$ is the dualizing sheaf on $Y = \operatorname{Proj} R$. So in this case the quotient $\deg(\omega_Y)/\deg(Y) = a\deg(Y)/\deg(Y) = a$ equals also the a-invariant.

Remark 3.3. The surjection $\bigoplus_{(k,j+1)} \mathcal{O}_Y(-\alpha_{k,j+1}) \to \mathrm{Syz}_j \to 0$ gives at once the bound $\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\mathrm{Syz}_j) \geq \bar{\mu}_{\min}(\bigoplus_{(k,j+1)} \mathcal{O}_Y(-\alpha_{k,j+1})) = -\max\{\alpha_{k,j+1}\}\deg(Y)$. Therefore we get for the constant C_1 coming from Theorem 3.1 the estimate $C_1 \leq \max\{\alpha_{k,j} : j = 1, \ldots, t+1 = \dim(R)\} = C_1'$. This number C_1' is the coefficient for the linear bound which M. Chardin has obtained in [6, Theorem 2.3]. This bound corresponds to the inclusion bounds for tight closure of K. Smith which we obtained in Corollary 2.6. The following standard example of tight closure theory shows already the difference between the Chardin-Smith bound and the slope bound.

Example 3.4. Consider the ideal $I = (x^2, y^2, z^2)$ in $R = K[z, y, z]/(x^3 + y^3 + z^3)$, $\mathrm{char}(K) \neq 3$. We compute the bound coming from Theorem 3.1 for the regularity of the Frobenius powers $I^{[q]} = (x^{2q}, y^{2q}, z^{2q})$. We first observe that we may consider the curve equation $0 = x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = xx^2 + yy^2 + zz^2$ as a global section of the syzygy bundle of degree 3. Since this section has no zero on $Y = \mathrm{Proj}\, R$, we get the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \mathrm{Syz}(x^2, y^2, z^2)(3) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

This shows that the syzygy bundle is strongly semistable and therefore $\bar{\mu}_{\min}(\mathrm{Syz}(x^2, y^2, z^2)(0)) = -6\deg(Y)/2 = -9$. So $C_1 = 3$ and we get altogether the bound $\mathrm{reg}(I^{[q]}) \leq 3q + 2$.

Since $\mathrm{Syz}(x^2, y^2, z^2)(3)$ is not generated by its global sections, because the section just mentioned is the only section. Hence a surjection $\bigoplus_k \mathcal{O}(-\alpha_k) \to \mathrm{Syz}(x^2, y^2, z^2)(0)$ is only possible for $\max_k\{\alpha_k\} \geq 4$. So the linear bound for the regularity which you get by considering only the degrees in a resolution is worse than the slope bound.

REFERENCES

[1] H. Brenner. Looking out for stable syzygy bundles. *Archiv*, 2003.

[2] H. Brenner. Slopes of vector bundles and applications to tight closure problems. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 356(1):371–392, 2004.

[3] H. Brenner. Computing the tight closure in dimension two. *To appear in Mathematics of Computations*, 2005.

[4] M. P. Brodmann and M. P. Sharp. *Local Cohomology.* Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[5] W. Bruns and J. Herzog. *Cohen-Macaulay Rings, Revised edition.* Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[6] M. Chardin. Regularity of ideals and their powers. *Preprint*, 2004.

[7] H. Flenner. Restrictions of semistable bundles on projective varieties. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 59:635–650, 1984.

[8] P. Griffiths and J. Harris. *Principles of Algebraic Geometry.* Wiley-Interscience, 1978.

[9] R. Hartshorne. *Ample Subvarieties of Algebraic Varieties.* Springer, 1970.

[10] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry.* Springer, New York, 1977.

[11] C. Huneke. *Tight Closure and Its Applications*, volume 88 of *CBMS Lecture Notes in Mathematics.* AMS, Providence, 1996.

[12] C. Huneke. Tight closure, parameter ideals, and geometry. In *Six Lectures on Commutative Algebra.* Birkhäuser, 1998.

[13] C. Huneke and K. Smith. Tight closure and the Kodaira vanishing theorem. *J. reine angew. Math.*, 484:127–152, 1997.

[14] M. Katzman. The complexity of Frobenius powers of ideals. *J. Algebra*, 203:211–225, 1998.

[15] M. Katzman and R. Y. Sharp. Uniform behaviour of the Frobenius closures of ideals generated by regular sequences. *Preprint*, 2004.

[16] A. Langer. Semistable sheaves in positive characteristic. *Ann. Math.*, 159:251–276, 2004.

[17] M. Maruyama. The theorem of Grauert-Mülich-Spindler. *Math. Ann.*, 255:317–333, 1981.

[18] A. Singh. A computation of tight closure in diagonal hypersurfaces. *J. Algebra*, 203:579–589, 1998.

[19] K. E. Smith. Tight closure in graded rings. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 37(1):35–53, 1997.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SHEFFIELD, HICKS BUILDING, HOUNSFIELD ROAD, SHEFFIELD S3 7RH, UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: `H.Brenner@sheffield.ac.uk`